The Two-Sided Water Problem: What Process Manufacturers Are Missing on Both Ends of the Line

WATER ONLINE LAMINAR

By **Bobby McLaughlin, Director of Customer Success, and Anar Amarjargal, Special Projects Coordinator** at Laminar | Originally published Aug 4, 2026 Water Online.

A PLANET LIVING BEYOND ITS MEANS

In January 2026, the United Nations University's Institute for Water, Environment and Health retired the language policymakers had used for decades. The familiar terms of water stress and water crisis — terms that imply a system capable of recovery — gave way to a harder diagnosis: water bankruptcy.

Around 2.2 billion people currently lack safely managed drinking water, 3.5 billion lack safely managed sanitation, and nearly 4 billion face severe water scarcity for at least one month each year, with almost three-quarters of the world's population living in countries classified as critically water insecure.

Water bankruptcy does not arrive through a single dramatic failure. Rivers do not empty overnight and aquifers do not collapse in a season. Water bankruptcy accumulates through millions of small, uncounted withdrawals made across every sector of the global economy —agriculture, energy, municipalities, and industry — each drawing from the same finite sources, and each underreporting what leaves those sources and what actually returns to them.

Process manufacturing — the industrial-scale production of food, beverages, pharmaceuticals, and personal care products found on shelves worldwide — represents an underexamined withdrawal category. Inside every one of these facilities, water is lost twice — once at the tap, and once at the drain — both losses driven by the same root cause and both solvable by the same intervention.

CLEANING PROCESSES: THE QUIET WATER DRAIN INSIDE EVERY FACTORY

Picture a beverage line switching from orange juice to lemonade, or a dairy line moving from whole milk to cream. The line cannot simply be refilled. Everything that touched the previous product — every tank, pipe, and valve — must be chemically verified clean before the next batch runs, because a trace of the wrong ingredient reaching a consumer isn't just a production inconvenience, it's at least a quality event and at worst a public health event.

The system that handles this is called Clean-in-Place (CIP): an automated cleaning cycle that scours production infrastructure between runs. For regulated industries, CIP is non-negotiable: food safety law, pharmaceutical cGMP standards, and beverage industry requirements all mandate validated cleaning protocols between product changeovers.

Analysis of bottling operations suggests facilities spend 18–28% of their total operating time not even producing the product, but cleaning pipes and equipment.

The water consumed in those cycles accounts for 50-70% of all non-product water use, more than any other single function at those facilities.

RUNNING ON ASSUMPTIONS: HOW FIXED TIMERS DRIVE WATER WASTE

So much water moves through CIP because of a design assumption made decades ago: the fixed timer. Each cleaning phase runs for a set duration, calibrated to a worst-case scenario. Until recently, there was no technology capable of reading live fluid chemistry inside a sealed industrial pipe. Without that visibility, the safest assumption was always to meet the conditions of the worst-case cleaning cycle. Experienced operators compensated for this blindness — reading a clean line by the sound of flow, the color of rinse water, the feel of a valve — but that generation is retiring faster than it can be replaced, and a clock is increasingly all that remains.

Multiply that blind spot across hundreds of thousands of process lines worldwide, and a plant-level inefficiency becomes a measurable withdrawal from the same basins the UN has declared overdrawn. The stakes run in both directions: a facility without access to enough water cannot produce, clean, or operate. The industry's own continuity depends directly on the resource the fixed timer has never been forced to account for.

THE EXPENSE NOBODY SEES COMING

Water exiting a process facility does not simply disappear down the drain — it begins a second journey that most operations teams track far less rigorously than the water coming in. Process manufacturing facilities are typically the heaviest users of local water sources and simultaneously, the heaviest creators of return flow to those same systems. Two utility bills from the same watershed, rarely reconciled against each other.

Every gallon discharged from a manufacturing facility must be treated before returning to a municipal system or water body, billed by the gallon, and frequently coded to a separate budget line or not even tracked at all. The same over-rinsing that over-draws intake also over-fills the discharge stream, accumulating into thousands of gallons of treated, metered, and billed wastewater that served no purpose.

WHERE THE SECOND BILL BECOMES AN ECOLOGICAL ONE

Discharged water carries a chemical signature — nitrogen, phosphorus, organic material, cleaning agent residuals — and in excess, those compounds drive eutrophication. The Gulf of Mexico dead zone, a recurring seasonal phenomenon driven by nutrient loading from the Mississippi watershed, illustrates the cycle clearly: excess nutrients fuel algal blooms, which dissolve oxygen from the water column, and results in a hypoxic zone — where oxygen drops below two milligrams per liter — becoming a region where aquatic life cannot survive. Industrial wastewater discharge is a documented contributor to this pattern alongside agricultural runoff, and the Gulf is not an isolated case.

Where regulatory frameworks are active, the compliance stakes are concrete. EPA Notices of Violation initiate enforcement timelines that can escalate to fines and consent orders — consequences that are, by definition, avoidable.

In many parts of the world, however, those frameworks don't reach far enough to impose financial consequences at all. The economic incentive to treat discharge before releasing it competes directly with the cost of treatment, and the cost of treatment frequently wins. When no fine arrives, the river pays the bill instead.

WHAT HAPPENS WHEN PROCESS MFG FACILITIES ELIMINATE GUESSWORK

Both problems — over-drawing at intake and over-filling at discharge — share a root cause: a system running on assumptions rather than knowledge. Solve the visibility problem, and both ledgers change at once.

Near-infrared and ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy — analytical techniques well established in environmental and laboratory science — can now be deployed inline industrial pipes, reading the chemical composition of fluid in motion continuously without interrupting flow. Where a water quality lab might analyze a grab sample after the fact, an inline spectral sensor generates a real-time chemical fingerprint of whatever passes through it at sub-second intervals: distinguishing product from rinse water, detecting cleaning agent concentration, and identifying the precise moment a transition is complete.

Advancements in machine learning models tuned on the specific chemistry of a given production line have made it able to interpret those readings in real time, translating molecular data into operational meaning. When the model confirms the line is verifiably clean, the system acts —ending the cycle immediately, rather than waiting for a timer built around a worst-case assumption.

A cycle terminated on chemistry rather than assumption draws only the water the process actually required. Less water in means less water requiring treatment, lower discharge volume, reduced nutrient loading returning to the watershed, and a narrower window for a compliance threshold to be missed.

These new, innovative systems retrofit onto existing pipe infrastructure without production downtime or facility redesign, with an average of 20% water savings accumulating from the first cycle the sensors run based on aggregate deployment data across major global food & beverage manufacturers.

SOLVING A LEAK IN THE WATER CRISIS

Water bankruptcy accumulated over generations, and the path back toward solvency will require effort at every scale — governance reform, agricultural transformation, infrastructure investment, and decades of sustained commitment across every sector of the global economy. No single technology closes a planetary account of the scale the UN described in January 2026. But within that full portfolio of interventions the world needs, very few satisfy both of the following conditions simultaneously: available and accessible today. The factory floor in process manufacturing sits in that rare category because it is one of the few places where water savings are within reach without waiting for a new regulatory mandate or a capital budget cycle.

The leading manufacturers in this industry have not waited to be regulated into stewardship. They understand that their own continuity depends on the same freshwater systems the UN has declared bankrupt, and many have already committed to aggressive water reduction goals that extend beyond their own facilities.

The world does not need to find more water nearly as urgently as it needs to stop wasting the water it already has — and that work can start on a single production line, this week.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Bobby McLaughlin brings 13 years of hands-on experience in the industrial and CPG sectors, with deep expertise in Clean-in-Place operations and the water savings delivered through Laminar's Physical AI — deployed across seven of the top ten global food and beverage manufacturers, including Coca-Cola, Budweiser, and Unilever.

Anar Amarjargal is a Columbia Climate School graduate with a sustainable investment background focused on water scarcity and desertification, bringing the environmental and policy lens to the industrial water conversation. Together, they connect the factory floor to the broader global water crisis in a way that is both data-backed and urgent.